# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/564,059	08/08/2006	Ulrich Steinbrenner	283565US0PCT	3981	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			DAVIS, BRIAN J		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·			1621		
		·			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/04/2007	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/564,059	STEINBRENNER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Brian J. Davis	1621			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MON	TH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS			
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS c, cause the application to become ABAND	FION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
,	,				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	1, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.					
<ul> <li>7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11</u> is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o</li> </ul>	r election requirement				
are subject to restriction and/o	r closton requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•	• •			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 11	9(a)-(d) or (f)			
a) ⊠ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:	priority under 55 0.5.6. § 11	3(a)-(d) 01 (i).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Appli	cation No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been rec	eived in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau	, , , , ,				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rec	eived.			
Attachment(s)	_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sumn Paper No(s)/Ma				
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/10/06.		nal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number:

10/564,059 Art Unit: 1621

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

Claim 11 is objected to because of the following informalities: the term formaldedhyde [sic] is misspelled. Appropriate correction is required.

The examiner respectfully requests applicant's assistance in correcting any other minor spelling and/or grammatical errors which may be present in the claims.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The meaning of the limitation "work up" is unclear because it is undefined.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The meaning of the limitation "prepared on the basis of" is unclear because it is undefined.

10/564,059

Art Unit: 1621

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The meaning of the term "semicontinuously" is unclear because it is undefined. The term is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. It is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. There is insufficient antecedent basis for the term "the formaldehyde" in the claim.

Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. There is insufficient antecedent basis for the terms "aniline" and "formaldehyde" in the claims.

The remaining claims are also rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as claims which depend from indefinite claims are also indefinite. *Ex parte Cordova*, 10 USPQ 2d 1949, 1952 (PTO Bd. App. 1989).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian J. Davis whose telephone number is 571-272-0638. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne (Bonnie) Eyler can be reached at 571-272-0871. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Brian J. Davis

PAIMARY EXAMINER November 24, 2007